The Role of Nurse Leaders in Promoting Psychological Safety and Innovation Within Healthcare Settings

Isabella Ford, Dahlia Sanchez, Clara Holmes

Abstract

This research investigates the critical intersection of psychological safety, innovation, and nursing leadership within contemporary healthcare environments. While psychological safety has been studied in various organizational contexts, its specific application to nursing leadership and its direct relationship to healthcare innovation remains underexplored. This mixed-methods study employed a novel methodological approach combining quantitative surveys with qualitative phenomenological inquiry across twelve healthcare institutions representing diverse settings including academic medical centers, community hospitals, and specialized care facilities. The quantitative component utilized validated scales measuring psychological safety, innovation climate, and leadership behaviors among 847 nursing professionals, while the qualitative dimension involved in-depth interviews with 45 nurse leaders and frontline nursing staff. Our findings reveal that nurse leaders who actively cultivate psychological safety through specific behavioral patterns—including vulnerability demonstration, error normalization, and inclusive decision-making—create environments where innovative practices emerge organically. The study introduces the concept of 'psychological safety scaffolding' as a framework for understanding how nurse leaders build layered support systems that enable calculated risk-taking and creative problem-solving. Results demonstrate that units with high psychological safety scores showed 42

1 Introduction

The contemporary healthcare landscape presents unprecedented challenges that demand innovative solutions and adaptive leadership approaches. Within this complex environment, nurse leaders occupy a pivotal position at the intersection of clinical care, administrative management, and organizational culture. The concept of psychological safety—defined as a shared belief that one can speak up with ideas, questions, concerns, or mistakes without fear of negative consequences—has emerged as a critical factor in organizational effectiveness across various sectors. However, its specific application within nursing leadership contexts

and its relationship to healthcare innovation represents a significant gap in the existing literature.

Healthcare organizations face mounting pressure to innovate in response to evolving patient needs, technological advancements, and systemic challenges. Traditional hierarchical leadership models often prove insufficient for fostering the creative problem-solving and adaptive responses required in modern healthcare delivery. Nurse leaders, positioned at the frontline of patient care while simultaneously managing teams and resources, possess unique opportunities to cultivate environments where psychological safety enables innovation to flourish.

This research addresses several critical questions that remain inadequately explored in current scholarship. How do nurse leaders specifically foster psychological safety within their teams? What leadership behaviors most effectively create environments where nursing staff feel safe to propose and implement innovative practices? How does psychological safety directly influence the frequency and success of nurse-driven innovations? What organizational factors either support or hinder nurse leaders in creating psychologically safe environments?

The theoretical foundation for this study integrates principles from organizational psychology, nursing leadership theory, and innovation management. While psychological safety has been extensively studied in corporate settings, its application in healthcare—particularly through the lens of nursing leadership—remains underdeveloped. Similarly, while innovation in healthcare has been widely discussed, the specific mechanisms through which nurse leaders enable innovation via psychological safety have not been systematically examined.

This study makes several distinctive contributions to the literature. First, it develops and tests a comprehensive model linking specific nurse leadership behaviors to psychological safety outcomes and subsequent innovation metrics. Second, it introduces the novel concept of 'psychological safety scaffolding' as a framework for understanding how nurse leaders build supportive environments over time. Third, it identifies and characterizes distinct leadership archetypes that differentially impact innovation outcomes. Finally, it provides practical

guidance for developing nurse leaders capable of fostering both psychological safety and innovation in their units.

2 Methodology

This research employed a convergent parallel mixed-methods design, allowing for comprehensive investigation of the complex relationships between nurse leadership, psychological safety, and innovation. The study was conducted across twelve healthcare institutions selected through purposive sampling to represent diversity in organizational size, type, and geographic location. Participating institutions included three academic medical centers, four community hospitals, three specialized care facilities, and two integrated health systems.

The quantitative component of the study involved cross-sectional survey administration to 847 nursing professionals, including staff nurses, charge nurses, nurse managers, and clinical nurse specialists. Participants completed validated instruments measuring psychological safety using Edmondson's seven-item scale, innovation climate using the four-dimensional Innovation Climate Questionnaire, and leadership behaviors using the Multifactor Leadership Questionnaire. Additional demographic and organizational variables were collected to control for potential confounding factors. Statistical analyses included descriptive statistics, correlation analyses, multiple regression modeling, and mediation analysis to examine the relationships between leadership behaviors, psychological safety, and innovation outcomes.

The qualitative component employed a phenomenological approach to explore the lived experiences of nurse leaders and frontline staff regarding psychological safety and innovation. Forty-five participants engaged in semi-structured interviews lasting approximately 60-90 minutes each. Interview protocols were designed to elicit rich descriptions of specific leadership behaviors, psychological safety perceptions, and innovation experiences. Qualitative data analysis followed a systematic process of thematic analysis using Braun and Clarke's six-phase approach, including familiarization with data, generating initial codes,

searching for themes, reviewing themes, defining themes, and producing the analysis.

Integration of quantitative and qualitative findings occurred through several strategies. Joint displays were created to visually represent how quantitative patterns aligned with qualitative themes. Following analysis of both datasets, researchers engaged in interpretive integration to develop comprehensive understanding of the phenomena under investigation. Methodological rigor was ensured through multiple strategies including triangulation, member checking, peer debriefing, and maintenance of an audit trail.

The study received ethical approval from the institutional review boards of all participating institutions. Informed consent was obtained from all participants, with particular attention to power dynamics given the hierarchical nature of healthcare organizations. Confidentiality was protected through de-identification of data and secure storage procedures.

3 Results

The integrated analysis of quantitative and qualitative data revealed several significant findings regarding the relationships between nurse leadership, psychological safety, and innovation. Quantitative results demonstrated strong positive correlations between specific leadership behaviors and psychological safety scores, with transformational leadership behaviors showing the strongest associations. Units with higher psychological safety scores demonstrated significantly higher rates of nurse-driven innovation implementation, with a 42

Regression analyses revealed that psychological safety mediated the relationship between leadership behaviors and innovation outcomes, accounting for 58

Qualitative findings provided rich contextual understanding of how nurse leaders cultivate psychological safety and enable innovation. Analysis revealed four distinct leadership archetypes that emerged across different healthcare settings. The Vulnerable Visionary archetype characterized leaders who openly shared their own uncertainties and learning processes, creating environments where staff felt safe to experiment and propose novel solutions.

These leaders consistently framed failures as learning opportunities and celebrated both successful and unsuccessful innovation attempts.

The Collaborative Catalyst archetype described leaders who actively broke down hierarchical barriers and facilitated cross-disciplinary collaboration. These leaders created structures for shared decision-making and ensured diverse voices were heard in problem-solving processes. Staff working under Collaborative Catalysts reported feeling that their expertise was valued and that they had genuine influence over practice changes.

The Empowering Mentor archetype represented leaders who focused on developing their staff's capabilities and confidence. These leaders provided scaffolding support that gradually decreased as staff gained competence, creating psychological safety through clear expectations coupled with unconditional support for professional growth. Nurses working with Empowering Mentors described feeling encouraged to stretch beyond their comfort zones while knowing they had safety nets in place.

The Traditional Administrator archetype, while less effective in fostering psychological safety and innovation, provided important contrast. These leaders tended to emphasize protocol adherence and hierarchical decision-making, creating environments where staff reported hesitation in proposing new ideas or questioning existing practices. Interestingly, some Traditional Administrators achieved moderate psychological safety through consistency and predictability, though this rarely translated into significant innovation.

The concept of psychological safety scaffolding emerged as a central theme in understanding how nurse leaders build environments conducive to innovation. This scaffolding involves multiple layers of support including relational foundations (trust and respect), structural elements (clear boundaries and resources), procedural components (fair evaluation processes), and cultural aspects (shared values and norms). Effective nurse leaders were found to consciously construct and maintain this scaffolding through daily interactions, formal systems, and symbolic actions.

Case examples from participating institutions illustrated how psychological safety scaf-

folding enabled specific innovations. In one medical-surgical unit, psychological safety allowed nurses to develop and implement a novel patient handoff protocol that reduced medication errors by 34

4 Conclusion

This research makes significant contributions to understanding how nurse leaders can foster psychological safety to drive innovation in healthcare settings. The findings demonstrate that psychological safety serves as a critical mediator between leadership behaviors and innovation outcomes, highlighting the importance of specific, learnable leadership practices in creating environments where nursing staff feel safe to propose and implement innovative solutions.

The identification of distinct leadership archetypes provides a nuanced framework for understanding how different leadership approaches impact psychological safety and innovation. Rather than prescribing a single 'best' leadership style, this research suggests that multiple pathways can effectively cultivate psychological safety, though with different emphases and outcomes. The Vulnerable Visionary, Collaborative Catalyst, and Empowering Mentor archetypes each represent viable approaches, while the Traditional Administrator archetype highlights potential limitations of overly hierarchical leadership models.

The introduction of psychological safety scaffolding as a conceptual framework offers practical guidance for nurse leaders seeking to build innovation-enabling environments. This scaffolding perspective emphasizes that psychological safety is not a binary state but rather a multi-layered construct that requires ongoing attention and maintenance. Nurse leaders can use this framework to assess and strengthen the various components of psychological safety within their units.

Several implications for nursing practice emerge from these findings. Healthcare organizations should prioritize the development of nurse leaders who can effectively cultivate

psychological safety, recognizing this as a strategic imperative for driving innovation and improving patient care. Leadership development programs should specifically address the behaviors and mindsets associated with effective psychological safety scaffolding, including vulnerability demonstration, error normalization, and inclusive decision-making.

For nursing education, these findings suggest the need to incorporate psychological safety concepts and leadership skills into both pre-licensure and graduate nursing curricula. Future nurses need preparation not only for clinical competence but also for creating the organizational conditions that enable continuous improvement and innovation.

This study has several limitations that suggest directions for future research. The cross-sectional nature of the quantitative component limits causal inferences, though the qualitative data provide some temporal context. Future longitudinal studies could more definitively establish causal relationships and examine how psychological safety and innovation evolve over time. Additionally, while the sample was diverse, it may not fully represent all healthcare settings or cultural contexts.

Future research should explore several promising directions. Investigation of how psychological safety functions in interprofessional teams could yield important insights for collaborative care models. Examination of the relationship between psychological safety and specific types of innovation (incremental versus radical) could help leaders tailor their approaches. Research on how organizational systems and policies either support or undermine nurse leaders' efforts to create psychological safety would provide valuable guidance for healthcare executives.

In conclusion, this research establishes the critical role of nurse leaders in creating psychologically safe environments that enable healthcare innovation. By understanding and implementing the principles of psychological safety scaffolding, nurse leaders can transform their units into dynamic learning environments where continuous improvement and innovation become natural outcomes of daily practice. As healthcare faces increasingly complex challenges, the ability of nurse leaders to foster psychological safety and innovation may

represent one of the most important determinants of organizational success and patient care quality.

References

Edmondson, A. C. (2018). The fearless organization: Creating psychological safety in the workplace for learning, innovation, and growth. John Wiley & Sons.

Kahn, W. A. (1990). Psychological conditions of personal engagement and disengagement at work. Academy of Management Journal, 33(4), 692-724.

Avolio, B. J., & Bass, B. M. (2004). Multifactor leadership questionnaire: Manual and sampler set. Mind Garden.

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3(2), 77-101.

Cummings, G. G., MacGregor, T., Davey, M., Lee, H., Wong, C. A., Lo, E., ... & Stafford, E. (2010). Leadership styles and outcome patterns for the nursing workforce and work environment: A systematic review. International Journal of Nursing Studies, 47(3), 363-385.

West, M. A., & Anderson, N. R. (1996). Innovation in top management teams. Journal of Applied Psychology, 81(6), 680-693.

Newman, A., Donohue, R., & Eva, N. (2017). Psychological safety: A systematic review of the literature. Human Resource Management Review, 27(3), 521-535.

Wong, C. A., & Cummings, G. G. (2007). The relationship between nursing leadership and patient outcomes: A systematic review. Journal of Nursing Management, 15(5), 508-521.

Edmondson, A. C., & Lei, Z. (2014). Psychological safety: The history, renaissance, and future of an interpersonal construct. Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior, 1(1), 23-43.

Creswell, J. W., & Plano Clark, V. L. (2017). Designing and conducting mixed methods

research. Sage publications.