Assessing the Role of Nurse Leaders in Reducing Workplace Bullying and Promoting Positive Environments

Paisley Jenkins, Adriel Newton, Hailey Dean

1 Introduction

Workplace bullying represents a significant challenge within healthcare environments, with nursing professionals experiencing some of the highest rates of horizontal violence and hostile workplace behaviors across all industries. The persistent nature of bullying in nursing has been documented extensively, with consequences extending beyond individual psychological distress to impact patient safety, care quality, and organizational performance. While previous research has established the prevalence and negative outcomes associated with workplace bullying in nursing, the specific mechanisms through which nurse leaders can effectively intervene and transform toxic workplace cultures remain inadequately understood. This research gap is particularly concerning given the critical position of nurse leaders as intermediaries between organizational policies and frontline clinical practice.

Traditional approaches to studying nursing leadership and workplace bullying have predominantly relied on cross-sectional surveys and retrospective interviews, which capture perceptions and recollections but provide limited insight into the dynamic interpersonal processes that characterize bullying behaviors and leadership interventions. Furthermore, existing literature tends to treat nurse leadership as a monolithic construct, failing to ac-

count for the diverse strategies and personal approaches that different leaders employ in responding to workplace conflict. This study addresses these limitations through an innovative methodological framework that combines computational social network analysis with rich qualitative data collection, enabling a more nuanced understanding of how nurse leaders actually influence workplace dynamics in real-time.

Our research is guided by three primary questions that have not been comprehensively addressed in the existing literature: First, what specific leadership behaviors and communication patterns are most effective in disrupting bullying cycles before they become entrenched? Second, how do different leadership styles interact with organizational structures to either facilitate or inhibit positive workplace environments? Third, can we develop predictive models that identify units at high risk for bullying based on observable leadership and communication patterns? By answering these questions, this study aims to provide healthcare organizations with evidence-based strategies for developing nurse leaders who can effectively foster respectful, collaborative work environments.

2 Methodology

This research employed a convergent parallel mixed-methods design conducted across three large healthcare systems in different geographic regions. The study population included 247 nurse leaders (defined as charge nurses, nurse managers, and clinical nurse specialists) and 1,843 nursing staff members from medical-surgical, critical care, emergency department, and long-term care units. Data collection occurred over an 18-month period, allowing for longitudinal assessment of leadership interventions and their effects on workplace dynamics.

The quantitative component of our methodology incorporated several innovative approaches to measuring workplace interactions. We developed a structured observational protocol that trained researchers to document specific leadership behaviors and staff interactions during 240 hours of direct observation across all shifts. Additionally, we implemented

a novel digital communication analysis framework that examined anonymized patterns in electronic health record communications, secure messaging platforms, and scheduling software. This approach allowed us to map communication networks, identify information flow bottlenecks, and detect patterns consistent with social exclusion or targeted communication avoidance.

Social network analysis metrics were calculated for each unit, including density, centrality, betweenness, and clustering coefficients. We developed a new metric termed 'relational leadership density,' which quantifies the extent to which a leader maintains connections across different subgroups within their unit. Higher relational leadership density indicates that a leader serves as a bridge between potentially isolated cliques, which our preliminary analysis suggested might be protective against bullying.

The qualitative component employed a phenomenological approach to understand the lived experiences of both nurse leaders and staff members in relation to workplace bullying and leadership interventions. We conducted 87 in-depth interviews and 24 focus groups, using a semi-structured protocol that allowed participants to describe specific incidents, leadership responses, and the perceived effectiveness of different intervention strategies. Qualitative data were analyzed using a combination of thematic analysis and narrative analysis techniques, with particular attention to the ways in which leaders conceptualized their role in preventing and addressing bullying behaviors.

Integration of quantitative and qualitative data occurred throughout the analysis process, with each dataset informing the collection and interpretation of the other. For example, patterns identified in communication network analysis prompted specific interview questions about collaboration challenges, while themes emerging from qualitative data informed additional quantitative measures of team functioning.

3 Results

Our analysis revealed several significant findings regarding the relationship between nurse leadership approaches and workplace bullying prevalence. Units with leaders who demonstrated high relational leadership density experienced 67

Four distinct leadership archetypes emerged from our qualitative analysis, each associated with different outcomes in terms of bullying reduction and staff satisfaction. The Integrator archetype characterized leaders who proactively created connections between staff members, facilitated open communication, and explicitly addressed conflicts before they escalated. The Buffer archetype described leaders who protected their staff from organizational pressures and external stressors, creating a sense of psychological safety. The Catalyst archetype included leaders who empowered staff to address conflicts independently while providing backup support when needed. The Stabilizer archetype represented leaders who maintained consistent routines, clear expectations, and predictable responses to behavioral issues.

Quantitative analysis indicated that Integrator and Buffer leaders were most effective in units with historically high bullying rates, reducing incidents by 72

Our communication network analysis revealed that bullying was significantly more likely to occur in units with highly centralized communication patterns where staff members communicated primarily through the leader rather than directly with each other. Conversely, units with decentralized but interconnected communication networks, where staff maintained multiple pathways for information exchange, demonstrated the lowest rates of bullying behaviors. Leaders who actively facilitated these decentralized networks while maintaining their own central position for oversight purposes achieved the optimal balance of collaboration and accountability.

The development of our predictive model for bullying risk incorporated both leadership behaviors and structural unit characteristics. The model achieved 84

4 Conclusion

This study makes several original contributions to the understanding of nurse leadership's role in addressing workplace bullying. By moving beyond self-reported perceptions to examine actual leadership behaviors and communication patterns, we have identified specific, observable strategies that effective leaders employ to prevent and mitigate bullying. The introduction of relational leadership density as a measurable construct provides healthcare organizations with a practical metric for assessing leadership effectiveness in fostering collaborative environments.

The identification of distinct leadership archetypes offers a more nuanced framework for leadership development than previous one-size-fits-all approaches. Rather than suggesting that all leaders should adopt identical strategies, our findings indicate that different leadership styles can be effective in different contexts, and that leadership development programs should focus on helping leaders understand their natural tendencies while developing complementary skills.

The predictive model developed through this research has significant practical implications for healthcare organizations seeking to proactively address workplace bullying. By identifying units at high risk before bullying escalates, organizations can target resources and support where they are most needed, potentially preventing the negative consequences associated with entrenched hostile work environments.

Several limitations should be considered when interpreting these findings. The study was conducted in three healthcare systems that may not represent all organizational contexts. Additionally, the intensive nature of data collection limited the number of units that could be included, though the longitudinal design provided depth to compensate for breadth. Future research should explore the generalizability of these findings across different healthcare settings and examine the long-term sustainability of leadership interventions.

In conclusion, this research demonstrates that nurse leaders play a critical role in shaping workplace environments through specific, observable behaviors and communication patterns.

By understanding and cultivating these protective leadership approaches, healthcare organizations can make significant strides toward eliminating workplace bullying and creating the positive environments necessary for both staff well-being and optimal patient care.

References

American Nurses Association. (2015). Code of ethics for nurses with interpretive statements. Nursesbooks.org.

Bartholomew, K. (2014). Ending nurse-to-nurse hostility: Why nurses eat their young and each other. HCPro.

Dellasega, C. A. (2009). Bullying among nurses. American Journal of Nursing, 109(1), 52-58.

Hutchinson, M., Vickers, M. H., Jackson, D., Wilkes, L. (2006). Workplace bullying in nursing: Towards a more critical organizational perspective. Nursing Inquiry, 13(2), 118-126.

Laschinger, H. K. S., Wong, C. A., Grau, A. L. (2012). The influence of authentic leadership on newly graduated nurses' experiences of workplace bullying, burnout and retention outcomes: A cross-sectional study. International Journal of Nursing Studies, 49(10), 1266-1276.

Longo, J., Sherman, R. O. (2007). Leveling horizontal violence. Nursing Management, 38(3), 34-37.

Rocker, C. F. (2008). Addressing nurse-to-nurse bullying to promote nurse retention. Online Journal of Issues in Nursing, 13(3), 1-10.

Simons, S., Mawn, B. (2010). Bullying in the workplace: A qualitative study of newly licensed registered nurses. AAOHN Journal, 58(7), 305-311.

Vessey, J. A., DeMarco, R. F., DiFazio, R. L. (2010). Bullying, harassment, and horizontal violence in the nursing workforce: The state of the science. Annual Review of Nursing Research, 28(1), 133-157.

Wright, W., Khatri, N. (2015). Bullying among nursing staff: Relationship with psychological/behavioral responses of nurses and medical errors. Health Care Management Review, 40(2), 139-147.