

Evaluating the Effectiveness of Family Support Interventions in Pediatric Oncology Nursing Practice

Naomi Garcia, Phoebe Armstrong, Desmond Pratt

1 Introduction

The diagnosis of childhood cancer represents a profound crisis for families, triggering complex emotional, practical, and relational challenges that extend throughout the treatment trajectory. Pediatric oncology nursing practice has increasingly recognized the importance of addressing not only the medical needs of the child but also the comprehensive wellbeing of the family system. While family support interventions have become integral components of pediatric oncology care, the empirical evidence regarding their effectiveness remains fragmented and often methodologically limited. This research addresses critical gaps in the existing literature by employing a comprehensive mixed-methods approach to evaluate the multidimensional impact of family support interventions within pediatric oncology nursing practice.

Traditional evaluations of family support in pediatric oncology have typically focused on singular dimensions such as psychological distress or satisfaction with care. These approaches fail to capture the complex, interconnected nature of family experiences during childhood cancer treatment. Furthermore, previous research has often neglected the contextual factors that moderate intervention effectiveness, including cultural background, socioeconomic status, and pre-existing family dynamics. The current study advances beyond these limitations by developing and applying a holistic evaluation framework that assesses intervention

effectiveness across psychological, practical, and relational domains simultaneously.

The primary research questions guiding this investigation are: How do integrated family support interventions impact family resilience and coping mechanisms throughout pediatric cancer treatment? What specific components of nursing-led family support demonstrate the strongest association with improved family outcomes? How do contextual factors moderate the effectiveness of family support interventions in pediatric oncology settings? These questions have not been comprehensively addressed in previous research, which has typically examined interventions in isolation rather than as integrated components of family-centered care.

This research makes several distinctive contributions to the field. Methodologically, it introduces a novel evaluation framework that combines standardized quantitative measures with rich qualitative data to capture the nuanced experiences of families. Theoretically, it develops and tests a comprehensive model of family support that acknowledges the dynamic interplay between different intervention components. Practically, it provides evidence-based guidance for optimizing resource allocation in family support services and enhancing nursing practice in pediatric oncology settings.

2 Methodology

This study employed a concurrent mixed-methods design to comprehensively evaluate the effectiveness of family support interventions in pediatric oncology nursing practice. The research was conducted across three pediatric oncology units in academic medical centers over an 18-month period. Participants included 127 families of children diagnosed with cancer and 45 nursing professionals providing direct patient care. Family participants were recruited during the initial treatment phase and followed throughout their child's cancer trajectory, with data collection occurring at three-month intervals.

The quantitative component of the study utilized a longitudinal observational design with

repeated measures. Standardized assessment tools were administered to evaluate multiple dimensions of family functioning, including the Family Resilience Assessment Scale, the Pediatric Inventory for Parents measuring stress levels, the Medical Family Satisfaction Scale, and a novel Treatment Adherence Monitoring Tool developed specifically for this research. Nursing professionals completed the Professional Practice Environment Scale and a customized intervention fidelity checklist to document the implementation of family support strategies.

The qualitative component employed a phenomenological approach to capture the lived experiences of families navigating childhood cancer treatment. In-depth interviews were conducted with family members at critical junctures in the treatment process, including diagnosis, treatment intensification, and transition to maintenance therapy. Additionally, focus groups were conducted with nursing professionals to explore their perspectives on implementing family support interventions and perceived barriers and facilitators to effective practice. All qualitative data were audio-recorded, transcribed verbatim, and analyzed using thematic analysis supported by NVivo software.

The family support interventions evaluated in this study encompassed three primary categories: emotional support interventions including therapeutic communication, presence, and emotional validation; informational support interventions including treatment education, resource navigation, and anticipatory guidance; and practical support interventions including care coordination, sibling support, and financial navigation assistance. Intervention intensity was documented using a standardized tracking system that recorded the duration, frequency, and modality of support provided.

Statistical analyses included descriptive statistics to characterize the sample, repeated measures ANOVA to examine changes in outcome variables over time, multiple regression analyses to identify predictors of intervention effectiveness, and moderation analyses to explore the influence of contextual factors. Qualitative data analysis followed Braun and Clarke's six-phase approach to thematic analysis, with particular attention to divergent

cases and negative instances. Integration of quantitative and qualitative findings occurred during the interpretation phase, with joint displays used to identify areas of convergence and complementarity.

Ethical considerations were paramount throughout the research process. The study protocol received approval from the institutional review boards of all participating institutions. Informed consent was obtained from all adult participants, and assent was obtained from pediatric patients when developmentally appropriate. Measures were implemented to minimize participant burden, and psychological support resources were available to participants who experienced distress during data collection.

3 Results

The comprehensive analysis revealed significant findings regarding the effectiveness of family support interventions in pediatric oncology nursing practice. Quantitative results demonstrated that families receiving integrated support interventions showed statistically significant improvements across multiple outcome domains compared to those receiving standard care. Specifically, families participating in structured support programs exhibited a 42

Notably, the research identified a clear dose-response relationship between intervention intensity and family outcomes. Families receiving high-intensity support (defined as more than 120 minutes of direct nursing support per week) demonstrated significantly better outcomes across all measured domains compared to those receiving moderate or low-intensity support. This relationship persisted even after controlling for potential confounding variables including disease severity, family socioeconomic status, and time since diagnosis.

The qualitative findings provided rich contextual understanding of how family support interventions influence the cancer experience. Thematic analysis revealed that families particularly valued nursing interventions that acknowledged their expertise in caring for their child, facilitated their sense of control in the healthcare environment, and recognized their

unique strengths and resources. Nursing presence emerged as a critical factor, with families describing how consistent, authentic engagement from nursing staff created psychological safety and enabled more effective coping.

An unexpected finding concerned the moderating effect of health literacy on intervention effectiveness. Families with limited health literacy demonstrated significantly greater benefit from visual and interactive educational interventions compared to traditional written materials. This finding highlights the importance of tailoring support strategies to individual family characteristics rather than applying standardized approaches.

The integration of quantitative and qualitative data revealed several important patterns. While quantitative measures captured overall trends in family functioning, qualitative data illuminated the mechanisms through which support interventions produced these effects. For example, families described how nursing support helped them reframe their experience from one of helplessness to active partnership, which quantitative measures reflected as improved coping and resilience.

Nursing professionals reported enhanced job satisfaction and perceived competence when implementing structured family support interventions. Focus group data indicated that nurses valued having clear frameworks for providing family support and appreciated the positive feedback from families experiencing improved outcomes. However, nurses also identified systemic barriers including time constraints, documentation burdens, and occasional role ambiguity when providing intensive family support.

Contextual factors significantly moderated intervention effectiveness. Families from culturally diverse backgrounds particularly valued support interventions that acknowledged and incorporated their cultural practices and beliefs. Similarly, families with limited social support networks demonstrated greater benefit from practical support interventions compared to those with robust existing support systems.

The research also identified temporal patterns in intervention effectiveness. Support needs evolved throughout the treatment trajectory, with emotional support being most crit-

ical during diagnosis and treatment initiation, practical support during intensive treatment phases, and informational support during transitions between treatment phases. This finding suggests that flexible, phase-appropriate intervention strategies may optimize resource utilization while maximizing benefit to families.

4 Conclusion

This research provides compelling evidence for the effectiveness of integrated family support interventions within pediatric oncology nursing practice. The findings demonstrate that comprehensively designed support strategies significantly enhance family resilience, reduce distress, improve treatment adherence, and strengthen the therapeutic alliance between healthcare providers and families. The mixed-methods approach employed in this study enabled a nuanced understanding of both the outcomes of family support and the processes through which these outcomes are achieved.

The study makes several original contributions to the field of pediatric oncology nursing. Methodologically, it advances beyond previous research by employing a comprehensive evaluation framework that captures multiple dimensions of family experience simultaneously. The identification of a dose-response relationship between intervention intensity and family outcomes provides empirical support for allocating sufficient nursing resources to family support activities. The discovery of health literacy as a significant moderating factor highlights the need for tailored rather than standardized intervention approaches.

Theoretical implications include the development of an enhanced model of family-centered care that acknowledges the dynamic, multidimensional nature of family support needs throughout the cancer trajectory. This model recognizes that effective support requires attention to emotional, informational, and practical domains simultaneously, with flexibility to adapt to evolving family circumstances and preferences.

Practical implications for nursing practice are substantial. The findings support the

implementation of structured family support protocols within pediatric oncology settings, with particular attention to intervention intensity, timing, and individualization. Nursing education programs should incorporate training in comprehensive family assessment and support strategies, emphasizing the importance of cultural sensitivity and health literacy awareness.

Several limitations warrant consideration. The study was conducted in academic medical centers, which may limit generalizability to community-based settings. The relatively high resource intensity of the interventions evaluated may present implementation challenges in resource-constrained environments. Future research should explore strategies for optimizing intervention efficiency while maintaining effectiveness.

Directions for future research include investigating the long-term effects of family support interventions beyond the active treatment phase, developing and testing technology-enhanced support strategies to augment in-person nursing care, and examining the cost-effectiveness of different intervention approaches. Additionally, research exploring the specific support needs of underrepresented cultural groups would enhance the cultural responsiveness of family support practices.

In conclusion, this research establishes that effectively structured family support interventions represent a vital component of comprehensive pediatric oncology care. By documenting both the outcomes and processes of effective family support, this study provides an evidence base for optimizing nursing practice and ultimately enhancing the quality of life for children with cancer and their families throughout the treatment journey.

References

American Psychological Association. (2020). Publication manual of the American Psychological Association (7th ed.).

Barrera, M., Schulte, F. (2021). A meta-analysis of family interventions in pediatric

oncology. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*, 46(3), 245-258.

Coyne, I., Gallagher, P. (2022). Participation in communication and decision-making: Children and young people's experiences in a hospital setting. *Journal of Clinical Nursing*, 31(5-6), 645-655.

Fisher, H. R. (2020). The needs of parents with chronically sick children: A literature review. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 76(5), 1075-1084.

Garcia, N., Armstrong, P. (2023). Family resilience in pediatric illness: Conceptual framework and clinical applications. *Journal of Family Nursing*, 29(1), 45-62.

Jones, B. L., Parker-Raley, J., Barcus, R. (2021). Understanding the health communication needs of young adult patients with cancer. *Journal of Psychosocial Oncology*, 39(2), 186-201.

Kazak, A. E., Noll, R. B. (2021). The integration of psychology in pediatric oncology research and practice: Collaboration to improve care and outcomes for children and families. *American Psychologist*, 76(2), 275-288.

McCarthy, M. C., DeGraves, S. (2022). The effect of family functioning and social support on the mental health of parents of children with cancer. *Journal of Child and Family Studies*, 31(4), 1125-1137.

Price, J., Jones, A. (2020). Review: Experiences and needs of siblings of children with life-limiting conditions. *Child: Care, Health and Development*, 46(2), 145-156.

Weaver, M. S., Wichman, C. L. (2021). Measuring the quality of family-centered care in pediatric oncology. *Pediatric Blood Cancer*, 68(4), e28925.