Exploring the Relationship Between Sleep Deprivation and Clinical Decision Errors Among Nurses

Georgia Ford, Dean Hawkins, Lillian Gomez

1 Introduction

The nursing profession represents a critical frontline in healthcare delivery, where clinical decisions carry immediate consequences for patient safety and outcomes. Despite extensive research on medical errors, the specific cognitive mechanisms through which sleep deprivation influences nursing judgment remain inadequately understood. Traditional approaches to studying this relationship have relied on self-reported sleep data and retrospective error reporting, methods that suffer from recall bias and insufficient temporal resolution. Our research addresses these limitations through an innovative methodology that combines high-frequency sleep monitoring with real-time error detection, enabling unprecedented insight into the dynamics of fatigue-related clinical decision-making.

What distinguishes this study from previous work is its focus on the cognitive architecture of nursing decisions under sleep-deprived conditions. Rather than treating errors as discrete events, we conceptualize them as manifestations of compromised cognitive processes that unfold over time and across clinical tasks. This perspective allows us to identify not just when errors occur, but how the quality of clinical reasoning deteriorates as sleep debt accumulates. The nursing context presents unique challenges, as decisions often involve complex pattern recognition, rapid prioritization, and emotional regulation—cognitive functions known to be particularly vulnerable to sleep loss.

Our research questions were designed to probe beyond surface-level correlations: How does the timing and duration of sleep deprivation affect different types of clinical decisions? What cognitive processes show the earliest and most severe degradation under sleep-restricted conditions? Are there compensatory mechanisms that nurses develop to mitigate fatigue-related errors? These questions required methodological innovations that could capture the nuanced interplay between physiological states and cognitive performance in authentic clinical environments.

The significance of this work extends beyond academic interest, as healthcare institutions grapple with staffing challenges and patient safety mandates. By identifying the specific decision pathways most vulnerable to sleep deprivation, our findings can inform targeted interventions, scheduling reforms, and cognitive support systems. Furthermore, our methodological approach establishes a new standard for studying healthcare performance under real-world constraints, with potential applications across medical disciplines.

2 Methodology

Our research employed a convergent mixed-methods design that integrated quantitative sleep monitoring with qualitative cognitive task analysis. The participant cohort consisted of 247 registered nurses recruited from three academic medical centers, representing diverse clinical specialties including emergency department, intensive care, medical-surgical, and obstetric units. Inclusion criteria required at least one year of clinical experience and current employment in direct patient care positions with rotating or night shift schedules.

The sleep monitoring component utilized wearable activity trackers (ActiGraph wGT3X-BT) that participants wore continuously for 90 days. These devices collected data at 30-second epochs, providing high-resolution sleep-wake patterns, sleep efficiency metrics, and rest-activity rhythms. The raw accelerometer data underwent processing using validated algorithms to determine sleep onset, duration, and quality. This objective measurement approach represented a significant advancement over previous studies reliant on subjective sleep diaries or retrospective recall.

Clinical decision errors were captured through multiple parallel streams. First, we implemented automated screening of electronic health records for predefined error signatures, including medication administration timing discrepancies, documentation inconsistencies, and protocol deviations. Second, we conducted direct observation of nursing activities during randomly selected shifts, with trained observers using a structured instrument to record decision processes and outcomes. Third, we administered brief cognitive assessments at the beginning and end of shifts using tablet-based tests measuring attention, working memory, and executive function.

The innovative core of our methodology lay in the cognitive task analysis framework adapted from high-reliability industries. We developed clinical simulation scenarios that presented nurses with progressively complex decision points while recording their verbal protocols, eye movements, and response times. These simulations were administered at strategic intervals throughout the study period, allowing us to map cognitive performance against accumulated sleep debt. The scenarios were designed to probe specific decision types: diagnostic reasoning under uncertainty, resource allocation under time pressure, and risk assessment in ambiguous situations.

Data integration posed analytical challenges that we addressed through novel statistical approaches. We employed time-series analysis to model the relationship between sleep patterns and error incidence, accounting for autocorrelation and periodicity effects. Multilevel modeling allowed us to separate individual differences from systemic factors, while sequence analysis helped identify error cascades—patterns where initial minor mistakes triggered subsequent more serious errors. The qualitative data underwent thematic analysis using a framework approach, with particular attention to nurses' metacognitive awareness of their impaired performance.

Ethical considerations received careful attention, given the sensitive nature of error reporting in healthcare. We implemented robust anonymization procedures, obtained institutional review board approval at all participating sites, and established clear protocols for immediate intervention if observed errors posed imminent patient safety risks. Participants received comprehensive debriefing and access to their sleep data, with optional referrals to sleep medicine specialists when indicated.

3 Results

The analysis revealed complex, non-linear relationships between sleep parameters and clinical decision errors that challenge simplistic fatigue-error models. Our data demonstrated that the conventional focus on total sleep time provides an incomplete picture, as the distribution of sleep across shifts and the timing of sleep relative to work schedules emerged as equally important predictors. Nurses averaging less than 6.5 hours of sleep per 24-hour period showed a 43

A particularly novel finding concerned the concept of decision error cascades. We identified 127 instances where an initial minor clinical judgment error triggered a sequence of subsequent mistakes, with the probability of such cascades increasing dramatically when sleep in the preceding 48 hours fell below 11 hours total. These cascades typically began with assessment oversights (failure to recognize subtle changes in patient condition) or prioritization errors (mishandling competing clinical demands), then propagated through documentation inaccuracies and communication breakdowns. The cascade patterns differed by clinical context, with medical-surgical units showing different error trajectories than critical care environments.

The cognitive assessment data revealed domain-specific vulnerabilities to sleep deprivation. Tasks requiring sustained attention and working memory showed the earliest and most severe degradation, with performance declining significantly after two consecutive shifts with less than 5 hours of sleep between them. In contrast, procedural knowledge and routine task execution remained relatively intact until more extreme sleep restriction. This dissociation explains why nurses often report feeling competent with technical skills while making errors in clinical judgment—the cognitive systems supporting these functions have different resilience to sleep loss.

Our temporal analysis uncovered surprising patterns in error distribution across shifts. Contrary to expectations, error rates were not highest at the end of night shifts but rather showed peaks during the first four hours of morning shifts following insufficient sleep. This suggests that the transition between sleep-wake states may represent a particularly vulnerable period for complex decision-making. Additionally, we found that the relationship between sleep and errors was moderated by years of experience, with novice nurses showing different error patterns than experts under similar sleep conditions, possibly indicating the development of compensatory strategies over time.

The qualitative data provided rich insights into nurses' awareness of their impaired performance. Many participants demonstrated accurate metacognition regarding their fatigue-related limitations, describing conscious strategies to double-check high-risk decisions or seek colleague validation when feeling sleep-deprived. However, we also documented instances of metacognitive failure, where nurses expressed confidence in decisions that objective measures identified as erroneous. These metacognitive failures were more common in situations requiring integration of multiple information sources and showed stronger correlation with sleep fragmentation than with total sleep time.

Integration of the multimodal data streams enabled the development of a predictive model for fatigue-related error risk. The model incorporated sleep duration, sleep continuity, shift sequence, time since last sleep episode, and cognitive test performance to generate individualized risk scores. Validation against held-out data showed promising accuracy in identifying shifts with elevated error probability, suggesting potential applications for real-time risk assessment in clinical scheduling systems.

4 Conclusion

This research makes several original contributions to understanding how sleep deprivation affects clinical decision-making in nursing practice. Methodologically, we demonstrated the feasibility and value of high-resolution sleep monitoring in authentic healthcare settings, overcoming limitations of previous approaches that relied on coarse sleep measures. The integration of quantitative physiological data with qualitative cognitive analysis provided unprecedented insight into the mechanisms linking sleep loss to clinical errors.

Substantively, our findings challenge several assumptions underlying current scheduling practices and fatigue management policies. The identification of decision error cascades reveals that the consequences of sleep deprivation extend beyond isolated mistakes to include systematic breakdowns in clinical reasoning processes. The domain-specific nature of cognitive impairment explains why nurses may remain technically proficient while making errors in complex judgment tasks, a distinction with important implications for both training and monitoring systems.

The practical applications of this research are immediate and significant. Healthcare institutions can use our findings to develop more nuanced scheduling algorithms that account not just for total sleep time but for sleep timing, continuity, and distribution relative to work demands. The predictive model we developed offers potential for real-time risk assessment, enabling proactive interventions when nurses' sleep patterns indicate elevated error probability. Additionally, our cognitive task analysis framework provides a template for identifying specific decision vulnerabilities in different clinical contexts, supporting targeted training and decision support development.

Several limitations warrant acknowledgment. The observational nature of our study prevents causal conclusions, though the temporal precedence of sleep measures relative to error outcomes provides strong suggestive evidence. Our participant sample, while diverse, came from academic medical centers, and the generalizability to community hospitals or long-term care settings requires verification. The 90-day monitoring period, while substantial, may not capture seasonal variations or longer-term adaptation effects.

Future research should build on these findings in several directions. Longitudinal studies tracking nurses across years could illuminate how experience modifies vulnerability to sleep-related errors. Intervention trials testing different scheduling approaches or cognitive support strategies would provide evidence for practice changes. Extension to other healthcare professionals would determine whether the patterns we observed are nursing-specific or represent broader phenomena in clinical decision-making.

In conclusion, this research establishes that the relationship between sleep deprivation and clinical decision errors in nursing is more complex and nuanced than previously recognized. By moving beyond simple correlations to examine the cognitive architecture of decision-making under fatigue, we have identified specific vulnerability points and cascade patterns that inform both theoretical understanding and practical interventions. As healthcare systems face increasing demands with limited resources, such insights become increasingly critical for maintaining patient safety and supporting the wellbeing of the nursing workforce.

References

American Nurses Association. (2020). Nursing: Scope and standards of practice. Silver Spring, MD: Author.

Barger, L. K., Ayas, N. T., Cade, B. E., Cronin, J. W., Rosner, B., Speizer, F. E., Czeisler, C. A. (2020). Impact of extended-duration shifts on medical errors, adverse events, and attentional failures. PLoS Medicine, 17(4), e1003105.

Dawson, D., McCulloch, K. (2019). Managing fatigue: It's about sleep. Sleep Medicine Reviews, 9(5), 365-380.

Dorrian, J., Lamond, N., van den Heuvel, C., Pincombe, J., Rogers, A. E., Dawson, D. (2021). A pilot study of the safety implications of Australian nurses' sleep and work hours.

Chronobiology International, 23(5), 1149-1163.

Geiger-Brown, J., Rogers, V. E., Trinkoff, A. M., Kane, R. L., Bausell, R. B., Scharf, S. M. (2018). Sleep, sleepiness, fatigue, and performance of 12-hour-shift nurses. Chronobiology International, 29(2), 211-219.

Institute of Medicine. (2019). Keeping patients safe: Transforming the work environment of nurses. Washington, DC: National Academies Press.

Lockley, S. W., Barger, L. K., Ayas, N. T., Rothschild, J. M., Czeisler, C. A., Landrigan, C. P. (2017). Effects of health care provider work hours and sleep deprivation on safety and performance. Joint Commission Journal on Quality and Patient Safety, 33(11), 7-18.

Rogers, A. E., Hwang, W. T., Scott, L. D., Aiken, L. H., Dinges, D. F. (2020). The working hours of hospital staff nurses and patient safety. Health Affairs, 23(4), 202-212.

Scott, L. D., Hwang, W. T., Rogers, A. E. (2018). The impact of multiple care giving roles on fatigue, stress, and work performance among hospital staff nurses. Journal of Nursing Administration, 39(3), 138-145.

Weinger, M. B., Slagle, J. (2021). Human factors research in anesthesia patient safety. Anesthesia Patient Safety Foundation Newsletter, 27(2), 34-42.