Exploring Gender Disparities in Career Advancement Opportunities in the Nursing Profession

Gabriel Perry, Gavin Russell, Gianna Foster

1 Introduction

The nursing profession represents a compelling paradox in gender equity studies. While nursing remains predominantly female, comprising approximately 88

Our investigation builds upon but significantly extends existing research by introducing novel theoretical frameworks that account for the intersection of professional norms, organizational structures, and gendered expectations in healthcare environments. The nursing profession provides a unique context for examining how gender operates when numerical dominance does not translate into proportional representation in leadership positions. Previous studies have documented the "glass escalator" phenomenon for men in female-dominated professions, but these analyses have often overlooked the complex trade-offs and professional tensions experienced by male nurses, as well as the multifaceted barriers facing female nurses seeking advancement.

This study addresses three primary research questions that have received limited attention in the existing literature. First, how do institutional practices and organizational structures create divergent career pathways for male and female nurses with equivalent qualifications and experience? Second, what are the specific mechanisms through which implicit gender biases operate in mentorship allocation, assignment distribution, and promotion decisions within nursing hierarchies? Third, how do male and female nurses navigate and

experience these gendered professional landscapes, and what are the psychological and professional consequences of these navigation strategies?

Our research makes several original contributions to the understanding of gender dynamics in healthcare professions. We develop and validate the Gendered Career Pathway Model, which accounts for both structural and interpersonal factors influencing advancement. We introduce quantitative metrics for measuring subtle forms of gender bias in professional development opportunities. Additionally, we provide empirical evidence of the "maternal wall" effect in nursing career progression and document the professional isolation experienced by male nurses in specialty care roles. These findings have significant implications for healthcare organizations seeking to create more equitable advancement systems and for theoretical developments in gender studies and organizational behavior.

2 Methodology

This research employed a convergent parallel mixed-methods design, collecting and analyzing quantitative and qualitative data simultaneously to provide comprehensive insights into gender disparities in nursing career advancement. The methodological approach was specifically designed to capture both the structural patterns and the lived experiences of gender dynamics in nursing professional development.

The quantitative component involved a longitudinal survey administered to 1,247 nursing professionals across 42 healthcare institutions in the United States and Canada. Participants were recruited through professional nursing associations, healthcare system partnerships, and targeted social media campaigns. The sample included representation across various nursing specialties, educational levels, and career stages, with careful attention to recruiting sufficient male participants (n=187) to enable meaningful gender comparisons. Data collection occurred over a 24-month period, allowing for analysis of career progression patterns over time.

The survey instrument was developed through an extensive literature review and pilot testing with 35 nursing professionals. It measured multiple dimensions of career advancement, including promotion timelines, salary progression, leadership role attainment, professional development opportunities, mentorship experiences, and perceived barriers to advancement. Advanced statistical analyses, including multivariate regression, survival analysis for promotion timing, and structural equation modeling, were employed to identify patterns and relationships while controlling for confounding variables such as education, experience, specialty, and organizational context.

The qualitative component consisted of in-depth, semi-structured interviews with 68 nursing professionals selected through purposive sampling from the survey participants. Interview participants represented diverse genders, specialties, career stages, and organizational contexts. Interviews explored personal experiences with career advancement, perceptions of gender dynamics, mentorship relationships, work-life integration challenges, and strategies for professional navigation. The interview protocol was designed to elicit rich, narrative data about the lived experiences of gender in nursing career development.

Qualitative data analysis followed a modified grounded theory approach, employing constant comparative analysis and thematic coding. Interview transcripts were analyzed using NVivo software, with coding conducted by multiple researchers to ensure reliability. Emerging themes were refined through iterative analysis and member checking with participants. The integration of quantitative and qualitative findings occurred during the interpretation phase, where statistical patterns were enriched and explained through narrative accounts, and qualitative insights were contextualized within broader quantitative trends.

This methodological approach enabled triangulation of findings and provided both breadth and depth in understanding gender disparities. The longitudinal design allowed for examination of career progression over time, while the mixed-methods integration facilitated exploration of both the structural patterns and the subjective experiences of gender in nursing career advancement.

3 Results

The analysis revealed complex and multifaceted gender disparities in nursing career advancement, with findings that challenge simplistic narratives about gender advantages in female-dominated professions. The results demonstrate that while male nurses experience certain advantages in vertical mobility, these advantages come with significant professional costs and do not represent a straightforward "glass escalator" effect.

Quantitative findings indicated that male nurses achieved promotions to leadership positions significantly faster than their female counterparts. The median time to first management promotion for male nurses was 4.2 years compared to 6.5 years for female nurses, representing a 2.3-year acceleration advantage. This pattern persisted even when controlling for education, experience, specialty, and work hours. Survival analysis revealed that male nurses had a 58

However, this promotion advantage was not uniform across all career dimensions. Male nurses reported significantly higher levels of professional isolation, particularly in specialty areas such as pediatrics, maternity care, and gerontology. They were 2.4 times more likely to report feeling excluded from informal professional networks and 1.8 times more likely to experience role conflict related to patient expectations and collegial relationships. These findings complicate the narrative of uniform male advantage in nursing and suggest important trade-offs in career experiences.

Female nurses faced distinct barriers to advancement, particularly related to mentorship access and high-visibility assignments. Quantitative analysis revealed that female nurses received 28

The intersection of gender and parental status revealed particularly striking disparities. Female nurses with children under age five experienced a 42

Qualitative findings provided rich contextual understanding of these statistical patterns. Female nurses described navigating complex expectations around assertiveness and collaboration, with many reporting that leadership behaviors that were praised in male colleagues

were criticized as "aggressive" or "difficult" when exhibited by women. Several senior female nurses described consciously moderating their leadership styles to avoid negative gender stereotypes, a phenomenon they termed "professional femininity performance."

Male nurses interviewed described navigating contradictory expectations around masculinity and caring professionalism. Many reported pressure to move into administrative roles, with several describing explicit encouragement from supervisors to "use their potential" in leadership rather than direct patient care. At the same time, male nurses in specialty areas described challenges in building therapeutic relationships with patients who expressed gender preferences for female caregivers, particularly in intimate care contexts.

Integration of quantitative and qualitative findings revealed the operation of what we term the "gendered career pathway system" in nursing. This system channels male and female nurses into different professional trajectories through a combination of institutional practices, interpersonal dynamics, and individual navigation strategies. The consequences include not only differential advancement rates but also distinct professional identities, work experiences, and career satisfactions.

4 Conclusion

This research provides compelling evidence that gender disparities in nursing career advancement represent a complex phenomenon that cannot be reduced to simple advantage or disadvantage narratives. The findings demonstrate that both male and female nurses navigate gendered professional landscapes with distinct challenges and opportunities, resulting in divergent career pathways with significant implications for individual professionals, healthcare organizations, and patient care systems.

The theoretical contributions of this study are substantial. We have developed and empirically supported the Gendered Career Pathway Model, which accounts for the intersection of structural factors, interpersonal dynamics, and individual agency in producing gendered

career patterns. This model moves beyond existing frameworks by incorporating the experiences of both men and women in female-dominated professions and by accounting for the trade-offs and contradictions in these experiences. The identification of the "maternal wall" effect in nursing and the documentation of professional isolation among male nurses in specialty areas represent important extensions of gender theory in professional contexts.

From a practical perspective, these findings have significant implications for healthcare organizations seeking to create more equitable advancement systems. The evidence suggests that current diversity initiatives often fail to address the subtle mechanisms through which gender disparities are reproduced in nursing careers. Organizations must move beyond basic representation metrics to examine the distribution of mentorship, high-visibility assignments, leadership development opportunities, and promotion pathways. Specific interventions might include structured mentorship programs with accountability measures, blind review processes for leadership program nominations, training to address implicit biases in assignment distribution, and support systems for nurses navigating gender-nonconprofessional roles.

The limitations of this study suggest important directions for future research. The sample, while diverse, was drawn primarily from the United States and Canada, and cultural variations in gender dynamics in nursing warrant international comparative studies. Longitudinal research following nursing cohorts from education through mid-career would provide deeper understanding of how gendered pathways develop over time. Additional investigation is needed regarding the intersection of gender with other identity factors such as race, ethnicity, sexual orientation, and disability status in nursing career advancement.

In conclusion, this research demonstrates that achieving gender equity in nursing requires nuanced understanding of the complex ways gender operates in professional contexts. The goal should not be simply equal representation in leadership positions, but rather the creation of professional environments where all nurses can pursue career pathways aligned with their skills, interests, and values, free from gender-based constraints and expectations. Such

environments would not only enhance equity but also strengthen the nursing profession as a whole by leveraging the full potential of its diverse workforce.

References

American Association of Colleges of Nursing. (2023). Enhancing diversity in the nursing workforce. Journal of Nursing Education, 62(4), 215-223.

Brewer, C. S., Kovner, C. T., Djukic, M. (2023). Gender differences in nursing career trajectories. Nursing Outlook, 71(2), 101-115.

Cottingham, M. D., Johnson, A. H., Erickson, R. J. (2022). Men nurses and masculine identity in healthcare contexts. Gender Society, 36(3), 385-410.

Duffy, R. D., Bott, E. M., Torrey, C. L. (2023). Calling and career preparation among nursing students. Journal of Career Assessment, 31(1), 45-62.

Goudreau, K. A., Smolenski, M. C. (2022). Health policy and advanced practice nursing. Springer Publishing Company.

Hallowell, S. G., Oerther, S. E. (2023). Gender equity in nursing leadership. Journal of Nursing Management, 31(5), 1234-1245.

Iheduru-Anderson, K. (2022). Barriers to career advancement for nurses of color. Nursing Inquiry, 29(3), 124-138.

MacWilliams, B. R., Schmidt, B., Bleich, M. R. (2023). Men in nursing. Annual Review of Nursing Research, 41(1), 87-105.

Price, S. L., McGillis Hall, L. (2023). The history of men in nursing. Journal of Advanced Nursing, 79(7), 1623-1634.

Stokes-Parish, J., Elliott, R. (2023). Examining the glass escalator in nursing. Social Science Medicine, 320, 115-128.