The Impact of Nurse-Led Pain Management Interventions on Postoperative Recovery Outcomes

Amelia Rogers, Andrew Powell, Angela Reed

1 Introduction

Postoperative pain management represents a critical component of surgical care, with profound implications for patient recovery, satisfaction, and healthcare resource utilization. Traditional approaches to postoperative pain control have predominantly followed physician-directed protocols, often relegating nurses to passive roles in medication administration rather than active participants in pain assessment and management decision-making. This hierarchical model may result in delayed pain intervention, inadequate pain assessment, and suboptimal utilization of nursing expertise. The current study addresses this gap by investigating a structured nurse-led pain management intervention that empowers specially trained nurses with enhanced assessment capabilities, decision-making authority, and implementation responsibilities. This research emerges from the recognition that nurses, as the healthcare professionals with the most continuous patient contact, possess unique positioning to identify subtle changes in pain patterns, respond promptly to discomfort, and implement comprehensive pain management strategies. Our investigation builds upon emerging evidence

suggesting that nurse-driven protocols in other clinical domains have improved outcomes while maintaining patient safety. However, the specific application to postoperative pain management with rigorous methodological design remains underexplored. This study introduces a novel framework that integrates standardized assessment tools, multimodal analgesic approaches, and patient education within a nurse-led model. We hypothesize that this approach will significantly improve pain control, reduce opioid consumption, accelerate functional recovery, decrease length of stay, and enhance patient satisfaction compared to conventional physician-directed management. The research questions guiding this investigation include: How does a structured nurse-led pain management intervention affect postoperative pain intensity scores? What impact does this intervention have on opioid consumption patterns? How does nurse-led pain management influence functional recovery metrics and hospital length of stay? To what extent does this approach affect patient satisfaction and complication rates? By addressing these questions through a randomized controlled trial design, this research aims to provide robust evidence for transforming postoperative pain management practices and optimizing the utilization of nursing expertise in surgical care.

2 Methodology

2.1 Study Design and Setting

We conducted a multi-center randomized controlled trial across three academic medical centers with high-volume surgical services. The study protocol received approval from the institutional review boards at all participating institutions, and written informed consent was obtained from all participants. The trial was registered with a clinical trials registry prior to patient enrollment. We employed

a parallel-group design with 1:1 allocation to either the nurse-led intervention group or standard care control group. Randomization occurred at the patient level using computer-generated block randomization stratified by surgical type (abdominal, orthopedic, cardiothoracic) and institution to ensure balanced distribution of potential confounding factors. Research personnel involved in outcome assessment were blinded to group assignment, though complete blinding of patients and intervention nurses was not feasible due to the nature of the intervention.

2.2 Participants

We enrolled 450 adult patients (225 per group) scheduled for elective major abdominal, orthopedic, or cardiothoracic surgeries requiring anticipated hospitalization of at least 48 hours. Inclusion criteria comprised age 18 years or older, ability to provide informed consent, and English proficiency. Exclusion criteria included pre-existing chronic pain conditions requiring regular opioid therapy, cognitive impairment preventing accurate pain assessment, substance use disorders, pregnancy, and contraindications to standard postoperative analgesics. Participant recruitment occurred during preoperative visits, where research staff explained the study purpose, procedures, and potential risks and benefits. The final sample demonstrated balanced demographic and clinical characteristics between groups, with no significant differences in age, gender, body mass index, surgical type, or baseline pain tolerance measures.

2.3 Intervention Protocol

The nurse-led pain management intervention represented a comprehensive approach integrating assessment, pharmacological management, non-pharmacological techniques, and patient education. Intervention nurses underwent specialized

training comprising 20 hours of didactic education and 40 hours of clinical supervision. Training content included advanced pain assessment techniques, pharmacology of analgesics, multimodal approach principles, non-pharmacological interventions, communication strategies, and protocol-specific decision algorithms. The intervention protocol commenced preoperatively with structured education about pain management expectations, pain assessment tools, and non-pharmacological coping strategies. Postoperatively, intervention nurses conducted pain assessments every 4 hours using validated numerical rating scales and behavioral observation tools. Based on predefined algorithms, nurses initiated and titrated multimodal analgesia including scheduled acetaminophen, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs when appropriate, and opioids for breakthrough pain. The protocol authorized nurses to administer rescue analgesia without additional physician orders for pain scores above predetermined thresholds. Non-pharmacological interventions included guided relaxation, repositioning, distraction techniques, and cold/heat application tailored to individual patient preferences and surgical restrictions. Nurses documented pain assessments, interventions, and responses in standardized electronic health record templates designed for the study. The control group received standard physician-directed pain management according to institutional protocols, which typically involved nurse-administered analgesics per physician orders with pain assessment every 8 hours and limited nursing autonomy in medication adjustment.

2.4 Outcome Measures

Primary outcomes included pain intensity measured using 0-10 numerical rating scales at rest and with activity at 24, 48, and 72 hours postoperatively; total opioid consumption converted to morphine milligram equivalents; time to achieve predefined functional recovery milestones (sitting, standing, ambulating); and

hospital length of stay. Secondary outcomes encompassed patient satisfaction with pain management measured using a validated 5-point Likert scale; incidence of postoperative complications (respiratory depression, ileus, surgical site infection); unplanned healthcare utilization within 30 days of discharge; and quality of recovery assessed with the Quality of Recovery-15 questionnaire. Data collection occurred through electronic health record abstraction, direct patient assessment, and structured telephone interviews at 30 days post-discharge. All measures employed validated instruments with established reliability and validity in surgical populations.

2.5 Statistical Analysis

We conducted intention-to-treat analyses including all randomized participants regardless of protocol adherence. Sample size calculation determined that 200 participants per group would provide 90

3 Results

3.1 Participant Characteristics

Between March 2023 and February 2024, 450 patients underwent randomization, with 225 allocated to the nurse-led intervention group and 225 to standard care. The flow of participants through the study followed CONSORT guidelines, with minimal attrition (n=12, 2.7

3.2 Primary Outcomes

The nurse-led intervention group demonstrated significantly improved pain control across all assessment timepoints. At 24 hours postoperatively, mean pain scores at rest were 3.2 (SD=1.4) in the intervention group compared to 4.8

(SD=1.7) in the control group (p ¡ 0.001). Similarly, pain with activity scores favored the intervention group (5.1 vs. 6.7, p ¡ 0.001). These differences persisted at 48 and 72 hours, with the intervention group maintaining lower pain scores both at rest and with movement (p ¡ 0.001 for all comparisons). Repeated measures analysis confirmed significantly different pain score trajectories over time (F=18.3, p ¡ 0.001), with the intervention group showing more rapid pain resolution. Opioid consumption patterns revealed substantial differences between groups. The intervention group required significantly lower total opioid doses, with mean morphine milligram equivalents of 45.2 (SD=22.8) compared to 68.7 (SD=31.4) in the control group (p = 0.003). This 34

3.3 Secondary Outcomes

Patient satisfaction with pain management markedly differed between groups. On the 5-point satisfaction scale (1=very dissatisfied, 5=very satisfied), the intervention group reported mean scores of 4.6 (SD=0.7) compared to 3.4 (SD=1.1) in controls (p ¡ 0.001). Qualitative feedback from intervention participants highlighted appreciation for proactive pain management, consistent nursing attention, and comprehensive education. Complication rates demonstrated clinically important differences, particularly for opioid-related adverse events. The incidence of postoperative ileus decreased from 12.3

3.4 Subgroup and Sensitivity Analyses

Preplanned subgroup analyses examined intervention effects across surgical types, age groups, and gender. The beneficial effects of nurse-led pain management appeared consistent across abdominal, orthopedic, and cardiothoracic surgeries, with no significant interaction between surgical type and intervention effect for primary outcomes. Similarly, age stratification (¡65 vs. 65 years) revealed com-

parable benefits, though older adults in the intervention group showed particularly pronounced reductions in opioid-related adverse events. Gender-based analysis demonstrated equivalent intervention effects for male and female participants. Sensitivity analyses excluding participants with protocol deviations confirmed the robustness of primary findings, with effect sizes remaining virtually unchanged.

4 Conclusion

This randomized controlled trial provides compelling evidence that structured nurse-led pain management interventions significantly improve postoperative recovery outcomes compared to traditional physician-directed approaches. The intervention produced substantial benefits across multiple domains including superior pain control, reduced opioid consumption, accelerated functional recovery, shorter hospital stays, enhanced patient satisfaction, and decreased complication rates. These findings challenge conventional pain management paradigms that limit nursing autonomy and underscore the value of empowering nurses with appropriate training, protocols, and decision-making authority. The success of this model likely stems from several distinctive features: the proactive rather than reactive approach to pain assessment, the consistent application of multimodal analgesia, the integration of non-pharmacological techniques, and the comprehensive patient education component. Importantly, the intervention demonstrated both clinical benefits and potential healthcare cost savings through reduced length of stay and decreased complications. The 21

References

American Pain Society. (2016). Principles of analgesic use in the acute treatment of acute pain and cancer pain (7th ed.). American Pain Society.

Apfelbaum, J. L., Chen, C., Mehta, S. S., Gan, T. J. (2018). Postoperative pain experience: Results from a national survey suggest postoperative pain continues to be undermanaged. Anesthesia & Analgesia, 117(5), 1220-1229.

Chou, R., Gordon, D. B., de Leon-Casasola, O. A., Rosenberg, J. M., Bickler, S., Brennan, T. (2019). Management of postoperative pain: A clinical practice guideline from the American Pain Society. The Journal of Pain, 17(2), 131-157.

Dolin, S. J., Cashman, J. N., Bland, J. M. (2019). Effectiveness of acute postoperative pain management: Evidence from published data. British Journal of Anaesthesia, 89(3), 409-423.

Gordon, D. B., Dahl, J. L., Miaskowski, C., McCarberg, B., Todd, K. H., Paice, J. A. (2019). American Pain Society recommendations for improving the quality of acute and cancer pain management. Archives of Internal Medicine, 165(14), 1574-1580.

Kehlet, H., Dahl, J. B. (2018). Anaesthesia, surgery, and challenges in postoperative recovery. The Lancet, 362(9399), 1921-1928.

Macintyre, P. E., Schug, S. A. (2019). Acute pain management: A practical guide (4th ed.). Elsevier.

McDonald, D. D., Molony, S. L. (2019). Postoperative pain communication skills for older adults. Western Journal of Nursing Research, 26(8), 836-852.

Pasero, C., McCaffery, M. (2019). Pain assessment and pharmacologic management. Elsevier Health Sciences.

Watt-Watson, J., Stevens, B., Katz, J., Costello, J., Reid, G. J. (2018). Impact of preoperative education on pain outcomes after coronary artery bypass graft surgery. Pain, 109(1-2), 73-85.