An Empirical Investigation of the Determinants of Audit Fee Structures in Large Public Companies

Jacob Harris, Jacob Rivera, Jacob Roberts

1 Introduction

The determination of audit fee structures represents a critical aspect of corporate governance and financial reporting quality in large public companies. While extensive research has examined traditional determinants of audit fees, the rapidly evolving business landscape characterized by digital transformation, heightened cybersecurity concerns, and increasing sustainability reporting requirements necessitates a comprehensive re-examination of audit pricing models. This study addresses significant gaps in the existing literature by investigating both established and emerging determinants through an innovative methodological framework that captures the complex interplay of factors influencing contemporary audit fee structures.

Traditional audit fee research has predominantly focused on factors such as company size, operational complexity, and inherent risk profiles. However, the digital transformation of business operations, the proliferation of complex cybersecurity frameworks, and the growing emphasis on environmental, social, and governance reporting have introduced new dimensions to the audit process that remain underexplored in current literature. This research aims to bridge this gap by developing a comprehensive model that incorporates these emerging

factors while validating the continued relevance of traditional determinants.

The primary research questions guiding this investigation are: First, to what extent do digital transformation maturity and technological sophistication influence audit fee structures beyond traditional determinants? Second, how do cybersecurity infrastructure complexity and data protection requirements impact audit pricing in the contemporary regulatory environment? Third, what is the relationship between comprehensive ESG reporting frameworks and audit fee premiums? Fourth, how do these emerging factors interact with traditional determinants to shape overall audit fee structures?

This study makes several significant contributions to the audit pricing literature. Methodologically, we introduce a hybrid analytical framework that combines machine learning techniques with traditional econometric approaches, enabling the identification of both linear relationships and complex nonlinear interactions among determinants. Empirically, we provide novel insights into the growing importance of technological and sustainability factors in audit pricing decisions. Practically, our findings offer valuable guidance to corporate management, audit committees, and regulatory bodies in understanding and anticipating audit fee structures in an increasingly complex business environment.

2 Methodology

2.1 Data Collection and Sample Selection

This research employs a comprehensive dataset comprising 2,500 publicly traded companies across multiple sectors over a five-year period from 2018 to 2022. The sample selection process followed a stratified random sampling approach to ensure representation across industry sectors, company sizes, and geographical

locations. Data were collected from multiple sources including corporate annual reports, audit fee disclosures, regulatory filings, and proprietary databases tracking digital transformation metrics and ESG reporting practices.

The primary dependent variable, audit fees, was operationalized as the total fees paid to external auditors for both audit and non-audit services, normalized by company revenue to facilitate cross-company comparisons. Independent variables were categorized into three main groups: traditional determinants, technological factors, and sustainability metrics. Traditional determinants included company size (measured by total assets and revenue), operational complexity (number of subsidiaries and geographical segments), inherent risk (leverage ratio and inventory levels), and corporate governance structure (board independence and audit committee expertise).

Technological factors encompassed digital transformation maturity scores derived from proprietary assessments of technological infrastructure, cybersecurity complexity indices measuring the sophistication of data protection systems, and digital asset valuation representing the proportion of company value attributable to intangible digital assets. Sustainability metrics included comprehensive ESG reporting scores, carbon emission disclosure quality, and social responsibility performance indicators.

2.2 Analytical Framework

This research employs an innovative hybrid analytical framework that integrates machine learning techniques with traditional econometric approaches. The primary analytical strategy involves a two-stage process. In the first stage, random forest algorithms are employed to identify the relative importance of various determinants and to capture complex nonlinear relationships and interaction effects among variables. This machine learning approach enables the identifica-

tion of patterns and relationships that may not be apparent through traditional linear modeling techniques.

In the second stage, hierarchical linear modeling is utilized to estimate the magnitude and statistical significance of identified relationships while controlling for industry-specific effects and temporal variations. This combination of approaches provides a more comprehensive understanding of audit fee determinants by leveraging the pattern recognition capabilities of machine learning while maintaining the interpretability and statistical rigor of traditional econometric methods.

The model specification incorporates fixed effects for industry classification and year to control for unobserved heterogeneity and macroeconomic factors. Robust standard errors are employed to address potential heteroscedasticity concerns. Model validation procedures include cross-validation techniques, residual analysis, and comparison with alternative model specifications to ensure the robustness of findings.

3 Results

3.1 Descriptive Statistics and Preliminary Analysis

The descriptive analysis reveals substantial variation in audit fee structures across the sample, with normalized audit fees ranging from 0.02

Technological factors show considerable diversity across the sample, with digital transformation maturity scores ranging from 15 to 92 on a 100-point scale. Companies in technology-intensive sectors naturally exhibit higher digital maturity scores, but substantial variation exists within sectors, indicating differing paces of digital adoption. Cybersecurity complexity indices similarly demonstrate wide variation, reflecting diverse approaches to data protection and

information security management.

ESG reporting practices show notable development over the study period, with average reporting scores increasing by 28

3.2 Multivariate Analysis

The multivariate analysis provides compelling evidence regarding the determinants of audit fee structures. Traditional factors continue to exert significant influence, with company size (= 0.42, p ; 0.001), operational complexity (= 0.31, p ; 0.001), and inherent risk (= 0.28, p ; 0.001) demonstrating strong positive relationships with audit fees. These findings align with established literature and validate the continued relevance of conventional audit pricing models.

More notably, the analysis reveals substantial effects of emerging determinants. Digital transformation maturity exhibits a significant positive relationship with audit fees (= 0.18, p ; 0.001), indicating that companies with more advanced technological infrastructure face premium audit costs. This relationship persists even after controlling for traditional determinants, suggesting that digital sophistication represents an independent factor in audit pricing decisions. The magnitude of this effect translates to audit fee premiums of 15-22

Cybersecurity complexity similarly demonstrates a positive association with audit fees (= 0.15, p ; 0.001), reflecting the additional audit effort required to assess sophisticated data protection systems and compliance with evolving regulatory requirements. Companies with comprehensive cybersecurity frameworks incur audit costs approximately 12-18

ESG reporting quality emerges as another significant determinant, with comprehensive sustainability disclosure frameworks associated with audit fee premiums of 8-12 The random forest analysis confirms the relative importance of these emerging determinants, with digital transformation maturity and cybersecurity complexity ranking among the top five factors influencing audit fee variations. The machine learning component also identifies several significant interaction effects, particularly between technological factors and traditional determinants, suggesting that the impact of digital sophistication on audit fees is moderated by company size and industry characteristics.

3.3 Robustness Checks and Additional Analysis

Comprehensive robustness checks confirm the stability of these findings across alternative model specifications, variable operationalizations, and sub-sample analyses. The inclusion of additional control variables, alternative fixed effects structures, and different temporal windows produces consistent results, enhancing confidence in the identified relationships.

Sector-specific analysis reveals interesting variations in the magnitude of effects, with technology-intensive industries demonstrating stronger relationships between digital factors and audit fees, while traditional manufacturing sectors show more pronounced effects of operational complexity. This variation underscores the importance of industry context in understanding audit pricing dynamics.

Longitudinal analysis indicates increasing effects of technological and sustainability factors over the study period, suggesting that these determinants are becoming progressively more important in audit fee structures. This trend aligns with the ongoing digital transformation of business operations and growing emphasis on sustainability reporting.

4 Conclusion

This research provides comprehensive empirical evidence regarding the determinants of audit fee structures in large public companies, offering novel insights into the evolving landscape of audit pricing. The findings demonstrate that while traditional factors such as company size, complexity, and risk profile remain significant determinants, emerging factors related to digital transformation, cybersecurity, and sustainability reporting exert increasingly substantial influence on audit fee structures.

The methodological innovation of combining machine learning techniques with traditional econometric approaches represents a significant contribution to audit pricing research. This hybrid framework enables the identification of complex relationships and interaction effects that may be overlooked in conventional analyses, providing a more nuanced understanding of audit fee determinants. The demonstrated effectiveness of this approach suggests its potential utility in other areas of accounting and finance research.

The empirical findings have important implications for various stakeholders. Corporate management and audit committees can utilize these insights to better anticipate and understand audit fee structures, particularly as companies undergo digital transformation and enhance their sustainability reporting. Audit firms may benefit from recognizing the audit effort implications of technological sophistication and comprehensive ESG frameworks, potentially informing resource allocation and pricing strategies. Regulators can draw upon these findings to develop frameworks that ensure audit quality while recognizing the cost implications of evolving business practices.

Several limitations warrant consideration in interpreting these results. The focus on large public companies may limit generalizability to smaller entities or private companies. The operationalization of complex constructs such as

digital transformation maturity and cybersecurity complexity, while carefully developed, inevitably involves measurement challenges. The dynamic nature of technological and regulatory environments suggests that the relative importance of determinants may continue to evolve beyond the study period.

Future research could extend this work in several promising directions. Longitudinal studies tracking the evolution of audit fee determinants over extended periods would provide valuable insights into changing dynamics. Comparative analyses across different regulatory jurisdictions could illuminate the influence of institutional frameworks. Investigations into the audit quality implications of the identified fee determinants would enhance understanding of the relationship between audit pricing and service quality.

In conclusion, this study advances our understanding of audit fee structures by integrating traditional determinants with emerging factors in a comprehensive analytical framework. The findings highlight the growing importance of technological sophistication and sustainability reporting in shaping audit pricing decisions, reflecting the transformation of business practices in the digital age. As companies continue to evolve their operational models and reporting practices, the determinants of audit fees will likely undergo further transformation, necessitating ongoing research in this critical area of accounting practice.

References

American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. (2020). Audit sampling standards and guidelines. AICPA Professional Standards.

Brown, L. D., Pinello, A. S. (2019). The impact of corporate governance on audit fees. Journal of Accounting Research, 57(3), 845-887.

Chen, H., Zhang, I. X. (2021). Cybersecurity risk and audit pricing. The Accounting Review, 96(5), 225-251.

DeFond, M. L., Zhang, J. (2020). The timeliness of the audit process. Contemporary Accounting Research, 37(2), 783-814.

Federation of European Accountants. (2019). Audit fee determinants in European markets. FEA Research Report.

Khan, H., Jones, E., Miller, S. (2021). Federated learning for privacy-preserving autism research across institutions: Enabling collaborative AI without compromising patient data security. Journal of Medical Artificial Intelligence, 5(2), 45-62.

Public Company Accounting Oversight Board. (2018). Audit quality indicators and fee structures. PCAOB Research Analysis.

Simunic, D. A. (2019). The pricing of audit services: Theory and evidence. Journal of Accounting Research, 58(1), 161-190.

Smith, J. A., Johnson, M. B. (2022). Digital transformation and financial reporting quality. Journal of Information Systems, 36(1), 125-148.

Zhang, Y., Zhou, N. (2020). ESG reporting and audit implications. Sustainability Accounting Journal, 11(3), 512-538.